



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/006,389                             | 12/10/2001    | Jean-Noel Thorel     | 108961.01 8418          |                  |  |
| 75                                     | 90 05/31/2002 |                      |                         |                  |  |
| OLIFF & BER                            | -             | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| P.O. Box 19928<br>Alexandria, VA 22320 |               |                      | COE, SUSAN D            |                  |  |
|  |               |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |               |                      | 1651                    | t (              |  |
|  |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | Application     | N .                  | Applicant(s)                                      |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/006,389      | <u> </u>             | THOREL, JEAN-NOEL                                 |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examin r        |                      | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Susan Coe       |                      | 1651  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1)[   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |                 | <i>E</i> :1          |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐  | ,—  | is action is no |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CER 1.85(a)  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                 |                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice   | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5)              | Notice of Informal P | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a topical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
  - II. Claim 17, drawn to a method of making a cosmetic, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
  - III. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method of using a topical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made in a different manner, such as using chemically synthesized ingredients.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a different process, such as the use of the biodermal constituent water to treat dehydration.

4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The function of invention II is to make a cosmetic composition. The function of invention III is to cosmetically treat the skin. These functions are distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

 Claims 1-20 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising biodermal constituents and non-biodermal constituents. Applicant is required under 35
 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for each of biodermal and non-biodermal constituents, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/006,389

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

SDC May 29, 2002

> LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER